

New Frontier

DECEMBER 1982

12p

VOICE OF BRITISH NATIONALISM

BRITISH MANUFACTURING INDUSTRY is not just being allowed by the Government to die a natural death; it is being systematically murdered by the Government. As we approach Christmas, unemployment is edging up to 3½ million. Even the Government itself acknowledges that it is almost certainly going to hit 4 million before long and rise even beyond that appalling figure.

Firms are going into liquidation all over Britain at an alarming rate. During 1981 there were 8,596 companies liquidated. Figures for 1982, which at the moment have only been released up to the end of July, indicate that there will be a near 50 per-cent increase in ruined businesses this year.

The Thatcher administration has attempted to justify this state of affairs by claiming that it is a necessary price that we have to pay for getting inflation down and making industry in this country more cost-efficient and competitive. These are just two of the fallacies which we have debunked elsewhere on this page. But the overriding fact which stands out above all else is that the policy is not succeeding. British industry is not being stimulated in the present climate to be more competitive than its foreign rivals, either in the British market or overseas; it is still being beaten by them on almost all fronts. Today every shop and every showroom in this country are dominated by foreign manufactured products — products that are flooding into this country without restrictions while 3½ million able-bodied people in Britain are being paid by the nation to do nothing. The vast public expense that is involved in their upkeep makes a nonsense of the claim made by the Government that it is making the economy more cost-effective.

The Government says that the onus is on British industry to perform better. This statement is valid with respect to certain sectors of industry but by no means all. False exchange rates, cheap coolie labour and subsidies to foreign industries by their governments are in many sectors giving foreigners wholly unfair advantages in the British and world markets and in the process ruining efficient British firms as well as inefficient ones.

With regard to those British industries that are inefficient, two major causes of their inefficiency the Government has done absolutely nothing about. One is Britain's antiquated trade union structure, which — despite much pre-election noise and promises to the contrary — has not been fundamentally changed. Another is the deteriorating quality of Britain's labour force, caused by the entry into industry of huge numbers of Coloured immigrants who are not suited by aptitude or temperament to achieve European or Japanese standards of performance on the factory floor.

Left-wing and Socialist policies, as advocated by Labour, or wishy-washy liberal policies, as advocated by the SDP-Liberal Alliance, provide no workable alternative to the present ones of Mrs. Thatcher — and both indeed have failed in practice in the past, for the rot in British industry is not new but has been developing for many decades under the leadership of the same politicians who are now trying to make capital by attacking the present Government.

FALSE PRINCIPLES

What is fundamentally wrong with the whole economic strategy pursued by successive British governments in recent history is that it rests on two false principles: **Liberalism** and **Internationalism**. None of the established political parties — Tory, Labour, Liberal or SDP — differ on these principles, and thus none of them provides the policies whereby Britain can truly change course.

Behind these two false principles lies the **Money Power** — International Finance, which directly or indirectly controls all the old political parties and indeed the whole racket known as 'Parliamentary Democracy'. The Money Power manipulates the twin fantasies of Liberalism and Internationalism as weapons to protect its own position and privileges.

The Money Power — whose centre in Britain is the City of London — wants to see international free trade maintained because that system of trade is essential to the

mobility of capital which the Money Power requires to maximise its profits. The City, instead of acting its proper role as a source of investment for British industry, prefers to send its capital overseas to finance the factories of Singapore and Hong Kong, where labour is cheapest. At the same time it demands that the British market be open to the products of those overseas industries where its capital is concentrated — even though this may mean misery for millions of workers here at home.

RUNNING DOWN POLICY

There is today much evidence that the Money Power, through its puppets acting as officials of the Thatcher Government, has a deliberate policy of running down British manufacturing industry as part of its international plan for the division of labour. Factories in Asia, the 'Third World' and the Communist Bloc will increasingly supply the world's needs in modern industrial products, while the British economy will concentrate on whiskey, TV soap operas and tourism — together of course with the provision of expertise in banking, investment, insurance and other related services traditional to The City. This policy was hinted at recently by a junior minister to the Government, Mr. John Wakeham, who made a speech in which he stated that manufacturing industry



INDUSTRY'S EXECUTIONER
Mrs. Thatcher, seen here with German ex-Chancellor Schmidt.


was becoming reduced in importance to the British economy. His statement was speedily repudiated by his boss, Chancellor of the Exchequer Sir Geoffrey Howe, as it had to be for cosmetic reasons, but all the facts before our eyes indicate that Mr. Wakeham was in fact saying what the Government is thinking and planning. Given that British Government is committed to Internationalism and to the service of the International Money Power, the murder of manufacturing industry in this country is a perfectly logical objective for it to pursue.

Quite clearly, the regeneration of British industry will only come by means of a policy of **Nationalism** — as opposed to Internationalism.

Contd. top page 3



IMPORTS THAT ARE KILLING BRITAIN
Japanese cameras (left) and motor cycles (right) are contributing to British jobless.



Behind the mounting unemployment figures, the truth—

BRITISH INDUSTRY IS BEING MURDERED

FALLACIES UNDERLYING GOVERNMENT POLICY

FALLACY: By causing massive redundancies throughout industry, the Government is trimming the fat off Britain's labour force, and thus making the British economy more cost-effective.

FACT: Government policy is not 'trimming the fat' off the economy at all; it is merely shifting it from certain parts of the productive sector to the huge state apparatus that has to keep 3½ million unemployed. From paying people to under-produce, we are now paying people not to produce at all.

FALLACY: In the past, governments were attempting to bail industry out of trouble by the printing of money that the nation hadn't earned, thus creating inflation; now the present Government is forced, in order to cut inflation, to make money for industry scarce.

FACT: This 'printing of money' idea is a myth. Past governments, as well as the present Government, have employed the practice of bringing new money into circulation by allowing the banks to create it as an interest-bearing debt; it is the banks which, in effect, have 'printed' money; they have then lent it to the Government and to industry and commerce at a profit. It is this profit, which represents the interest paid on such loans, that is the source of inflation. The present Government has not altered this policy in any fundamental way; it has merely forced up interest rates (until recently) so that less money is being borrowed but at greater profit to the banks. This explains why the banks are still thriving while industry is dying.

The real printing of money involves the creation of that money by the Government debt-free and without recourse to the private banks. This is a perfectly sound and legitimate practice providing that the money goes to promote real economic growth equivalent to its value.

FALLACY: British industry must be exposed to foreign competition in the home market in order to stimulate it into being more competitive; if we protect industry by shutting out imports, we will be protecting inefficiency.

FACT: This is a gross over-simplification. Vast numbers of British industries are being delivered out of business, not by superior performance but by price-cutting made possible by foreign government subsidies, by cheap sweated 'Third World' labour (often financed by the City of London) and by an unreal exchange rate which cripples British exporters and thus reduces our industries' ability to compete anywhere.

FALLACY: If we protected British industry by import embargoes or controls, foreigners would retaliate against our exports, and overall we would lose.

FACT: Our main foreign competitors in manufactured products are exporting £4-5,000 million worth of goods yearly more than we are exporting to them. Even if there were 100 per-cent retaliation — which there certainly would not be — British industry would still gain from an import stoppage.

New Frontier

No. 23 DECEMBER 1982

Editor: Ronald Rickcord

Contributing Editor: Charles Parker

New Frontier, PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.
Tel: 0273 777540

BRIXTON AGAIN

LAST MONTH'S renewed rioting in Brixton confirmed our prediction made last March that unless the Government took firm action against the rioters there would be outbreaks of violence before the end of this year. Although on this occasion the police did well to contain the riot, it was not, as some politicians and spokesmen of the race relations industry would have us believe, a minor affair. The truth of the matter is that ever since the publication of the fatuous Scarman Report and the lenient attitude adopted towards last year's rioters, young Blacks living in Brixton (and elsewhere) have themselves adopted an attitude of sheer arrogance towards authority and the rest of the population.

The latest Brixton riot occurred because the Blacks concerned resented police intrusion into what they regard as a "no-go" area, and they resented the demolition of condemned properties which they were using as a centre for drug-trafficking, organised mugging, illegal gaming, unlicensed drinking and prostitution. And so, once again, they retaliated by resorting to the petrol bomb.

During the past summer there were, as we have already reported, a number of riots by Blacks in various parts of the country; but they were, for the most part, played down by the media. However, last month's riot was too large to be swept under the carpet; and it presented a considerable embarrassment to the Government coming, as it did, so soon after Messrs. Whitelaw and Raison had successfully persuaded the Tories to renege on their election pledge to stop immigrant women bringing husbands and fiancées here.

At the end of the summer the Government and multi-racialists were congratulating themselves that the rioting season had ended without a holocaust. We were told that this was primarily due to the implementation of Lord Scarman's recommendations, the success of "community policing" — a euphemism for not enforcing the law — and a change of heart among the riot-prone Blacks. The latest outbreak of rioting in Brixton shows just how wrong they were.

As we said in our March issue, failure to deal severely with rioters "will sooner or later lead to a cataclysm of horrendous proportions." Those words were no exaggeration, and any number of police "Immediate Response Units" will not avoid that happening. The only way to stop riots is to impose harsh penalties on rioters. But an even better solution would be to send the rioters — most of whom do not belong here — to the lands from which they or their forebears originated. Their ways are not our ways.

★★★★

As this is our last issue for 1982 we would like to take this opportunity to wish all our readers a very happy Christmas and a prosperous New Year.

NOTTINGHAM'S Labour controlled council is considering using the rates to pay for a new centre where West Indians can hold noisy all-night parties. This follows complaints from local residents about loud all-night music, fights, drunkenness and drug-taking in the area.

★★★★

SOUTHWARK COUNCIL is following Lambeth and Lewisham councils in moving its bank account from Barclays to the Co-op as a protest against the bank's links with South Africa. The switch will cost Southwark ratepayers £65,000 this year and £35,500 in subsequent years. One Tory councillor, Mr. Ralph Spilberg, agreed with the Labour majority's decision to switch banks.

★★★★

BETWEEN May and September 18 unprovoked racial attacks were recorded by police in Lambeth. In 14 cases the attackers were Blacks.

★★★★

HARRODS, the London store, has started selling golliwogs after having withdrawn them from sale seven years ago because they were regarded

as "racially insensitive." It is reported that there has been a revival in the popularity of golliwogs. This has provoked Miss Barbara MacDermott of the National Committee for Racism in Children's Books to complain that



"we still think that golliwogs are racially offensive toys, which are middle class and reminiscent of the Empire."

★★★★

OFFICIALS in Jerusalem have admitted that the Israeli occupation troops in the Lebanon have, in a number of "very isolated" cases, been guilty of looting.

★★★★

MR. JOHN NEWMAN, Metropolitan Branch chairman of the Police Federation, said at the Federation's annual meeting that policemen are now under instructions to "treat the ethnic minorities

in a different manner than we do the white population in London." He continued: "There are no written instructions. Senior officers are careful to say 'I am not telling you not to arrest but ...' and there is the knowing nod of the head and the almost-winked eye."

★★★★

THE CHAIRMAN of the GLC transport committee, Mr. David Wetzel, has appeared in court charged with travelling on the Underground without paying his fare. During the hearing Wetzel made ape-like gestures and shouted at the magistrate.

★★★★

LAST JULY it was reported in this column that 17-year-old black youth, Mark Thomas, had been placed under the charge of a probation officer so that his behaviour could be assessed before sentence was passed on him for killing a little girl aged six with a screwdriver. Last month Thomas appeared in the Central Criminal Court where Judge Tudor Price put him on probation for two years. The Judge added: "It would not be in the public interest to pass a custodial sentence."

NEWS YOU DIDN'T SEE IN THE NATIONALS

Brawling Asians terrorise town

UNTIL comparatively recent times Bletchley in Buckinghamshire was a quiet little country town which had experienced little change since the coming of the railway line in the middle of the last century. However, as with the rest of the country, things are changing in Bletchley. Gone are the days of peace and quiet; for Bletchley is beginning to experience the "benefits" and "cultural enrichment" of multi-racialism.

One Saturday morning not long ago, Bletchley's tranquillity was rudely shattered when Bengalis started fighting each other in Duncombe Street, which is close to the town's railway station. Saturday morning shoppers were astonished and alarmed to see battling Bengalis attacking one another with iron bars, pipes and sticks. According to the local press, dozens of Bengalis were involved, causing terror to local residents and shoppers. Several of the Bengalis ended up by being taken to Stoke Mandeville hospital for treatment.

Apparently, the fracas was the result of a dispute between warring factions seeking to gain control of a house in the street which has been converted into a

"Mosque". After peace had been restored by the police, one Bengali, Mr. Arkum Ali, told local reporters: "It was very frightening. I really thought someone was going to be killed." He later added: "We are a peaceful people."

Meanwhile, a special report about Bletchley's Bengali community prepared by Mrs. Ferdous Rahman, Associate Lecturer at Tower Hamlets Institute of Adult Education, states that Bengalis are terrified of race attacks. The report calls for cash to be made available from public funds for the upkeep of the community's religious leader. The presence of the Imam is an important feature in the life of a Moslem community and the Bangladeshi community in Bletchley is finding it a considerable financial burden to support him, says the report.

So it seems that the good people of Bletchley will not only have to put up with the battling Bengalis, but will also have to fork out for the upkeep of Imams. Perhaps this little story will serve as a cautionary tale for those people living in rural areas who live in the fond hope that they are immune from the "benefits" of multi-racialism.



THE PLACE ALL THE FUSS WAS ABOUT
Bletchley's 'mosque'.

ULSTER VIOLENCE THE REWARD FOR TORY WEAKNESS



Another bomb goes off. Appeasement has not stopped the IRA murderers.

evil organisation. By abolishing capital punishment in the Province, by refusing to allow our troops to use real bullets, by allowing sadistic monsters like Bobby Sands to stand for Parliament, and by letting reporters, clergymen and even Papal Delegates visit hunger strikers, instead of holding them *incommunicado* and then simply announcing that they had committed suicide and would be buried in unhallowed prison ground, they have actively helped to create the very conditions in which IRA terrorists thrive.

For far too long Northern Ireland has been a festering sore which is

Contd. back page, Col. 5

intention nor the courage to take the decisive action needed to eradicate the IRA once and for all. Instead he prefers to tinker about with constitutional procedures in the vain hope that such tinkering will appease the sadistic IRA butchers.

FIRMNESS NEEDED

When Mrs. Thatcher shunted Mr. Prior over to Northern Ireland, *New Frontier* suggested that the Province was "the one place where firmness, and not wetness, is the most necessary quality." By appointing Mr. Prior it was clear to us that Mrs. Thatcher had no serious intention of ending terrorism in Northern Ireland; she used the appointment as a convenient method of ridding herself of a colleague who had become something of an embarrassment.

In the absence of any sign that the Government has the will to come to grips with the IRA, who can blame the Loyalists for taking the law into their own hands? They recognise what the Government does not: that the only way to deter IRA murderers is to make it clear that for every Loyalist killed by the IRA a Republican supporter will suffer a similar fate.

Far from taking the required action necessary to defeat the IRA, successive British Governments have played right into the hands of that

YOUR LETTERS

Sir,—I read in a recent issue of the *Daily Mail* an item informing its readers that Home Secretary William Whitelaw had decided not to make racial discrimination a sacking offence for policemen. Why, I wondered, in this age of multi-racialism, is Wobbly Willie being so lenient towards us cops? Surely racist cops should be flogged, if not executed! And then, as I read on, I came across the answer. The following paragraph explained everything:

"One of the reasons behind the Home Secretary's decision is that there has been a big increase in the number of black and Asian policemen recruited."

So there we have it! Now that there are more black and Asian police, racial discrimination will not be made a sacking offence because they may discriminate against Whites but must not be sacked for it!

POLICEMAN,
London NW1.

Sir,—In your October issue *Frontiersman* refers to "G. K. Chesterton's famous epigram *How odd of God to choose the Jews*."

I first read this epigram in the Oxford Dictionary of Quotations, which attributes it to William Norman Ewer. There is also a rather interesting and enlightening reply by a Cecil Browne: "But not so odd as those who choose a Jewish God, but spurn the Jews."

JOHN PEACOCK,
Leicester.

Frontiersman regrets the mistake which just goes to show that even his memory can sometimes play tricks!—Editor.

BRITISH INDUSTRY IS BEING MURDERED (Contd.)

British industry, commerce and finance must be organised and directed by Government to serve British interests and not the interests of international capital. Here *New Frontier* proposes the cornerstones of a Nationalist economic policy:—

- ★ British industry must be protected and revived by the progressive reduction, and eventual exclusion, of imports. This will give industry in this country an assured market for

which to produce, and thus increase its strength and capability to compete in foreign markets.

- ★ Government will direct the institutions of banking and finance within Britain to channel their resources into investment for British industry instead of investment abroad.
- ★ Inflation will be brought to an end, while money will at the same time be provided for an

expanding economy, by ending the bankers' practice of creating money as an interest-bearing debt and by the Government issuing all new monies interest-free in a quantity regularly adjusted to the needs of industry and the increase in the gross national product.

- ★ International trade will be conducted in all cases possible by bi-lateral agreements em-

ploying the process of barter and by-passing International Finance.

- ★ Government will seek to improve the performance of British industry by: (a) internal competition from other British industries operating under the same set of rules; (b) wholesale and revolutionary reform of our system of industrial relations which will prohibit all practices conducive

to inefficiency and industrial warfare, from whatever side of industry they may come; (c) Coloured immigrants will be repatriated and British factories manned by White Britons only.

These policies are radical — even revolutionary. But they are the only policies that now stand a chance of averting permanent industrial ruin and paving the way for real industrial recovery.

THE FALKLANDS ARE NOT FOR SALE!

Government should tell the world to keep out

THE UNITED NATIONS' vote calling on Britain to resume negotiations with Argentina on the sovereignty of the Falkland Islands has clearly shown what a farcical, indeed mischievous, organisation the UN really is. It has proved yet again that it is nothing more than a dangerous Tower of Babel. This is not surprising since it is dominated by "Third World" countries which pay next to nothing into its coffers but have a disproportionate say in its deliberations.

CALAMITY

Wherever the UN spreads its tentacles confusion, if not calamity, is nearly always the result. Although the UN was supposedly set up to spread everlasting peace throughout the world, it has never succeeded in preventing any war and has, in fact, contributed to several. Indeed, almost as soon as it came into existence it condoned and gave support to the

Zionist invasion of Palestine. More recently the futility of the UN was amply demonstrated when its so-called "Peace Force" stood aside to allow Israeli forces to slaughter upwards of 20,000 unarmed civilians in the Lebanon.

By voting in favour of Argentina, a country which only a few short months ago blatantly disregarded the UN Charter and Resolution 502 when it attacked and occupied the Falklands, the UN's General Assembly has shown how completely indifferent it is to the principle of self-determination when those seeking it are not coloured. What hypocrisy! By siding with a belligerent nation which still has not renounced the use of force, it has also shown what little importance it attaches to the concept of international law.

Unfortunately, the British Government, by its pusillanimity, has made matters even worse. By repeatedly making it plain that Britain is prepared to resume negotiations with Argentina

if that country renounces the use of force, Foreign Secretary Francis Pym has given the impression that Britain is not too concerned about the long-term future of the islands. It was this attitude that gave General Galtieri the idea that he could invade the islands with impunity in the first place. The Government's wet attitude, coupled with calls for a resumption of negotiations by members of the Opposition and other parties, makes it hardly surprising that the UN vote went against us.

PERPLEXING ATTITUDE

The attitude of the United States, whose vote in favour of Argentina was instrumental in persuading several uncommitted countries to follow suit, is perplexing. Why was it that the US gave its support to Britain when Argentina invaded the Falklands, only to withdraw that support a few months later? Could it be that the powerful Jewish lobby which deter-

mines Mr. Reagan's policies decided that the Falklands war was a useful means to divert attention away from Israel's expansionist aims in the Lebanon, but US support for Britain is now no longer necessary? True, such a proposition is only speculation; but in the absence of any explanation for Lord Carrington's mysterious trip to Israel while the Argentine invasion was en route for the Falklands, we can hardly be blamed for having suspicions.

It is of course well known that internationalists would like the Falklands to be administered by a United Nations' Trusteeship and that the General Assembly's machinations are designed to hasten such an arrangement. But we all know what handing over the Falklands to the tender mercies of the UN would lead to — the islanders would become hostages to internationalist schemers, while the islands' strategic value would be placed at the disposal of powers that are hostile to the West. Such an out-



come is definitely not what some 250 British Servicemen died for.

New Frontier demands that the Government must state clearly and unequivocally that the sovereignty of the Falkland Islands will NEVER be a matter for negotiations. We owe it to our dead Servicemen as well as to the islanders.



THE MEN WHO FOUGHT FOR THE FALKLANDS
Guardsmen poised to take Port Stanley.

TALKING POINTS by FRONTIERSMAN

BLACK BOMBSHELL

It was with some amusement that I read a newspaper report that Miss "Zimbabwe" has accused the organisers of the Miss World contest of being "racists". I don't know if her accusation is justified, but when she says "there is no way that black girls and white girls can be judged together, their features are so different"



Miss 'Zimbabwe'

it is clear that this black bombshell is endowed with far more sense than the supporters of multi-racialism, who continually tell us that Blacks and Whites are equal in every respect. At least she recognises that there are some differences between Blacks and Whites, and we should be grateful for that.

But while I agree with her suggestion that there should be separate competitions for Blacks and Whites, isn't that tantamount to condoning apartheid? Will the racist Miss "Zimbabwe" be banned from future contests, I wonder? And will contestants from other "Third World" countries refuse to participate if she is allowed to compete? I rather doubt it; so I do hope that the international cricket authorities take note of what happens to the outspoken Miss "Zimbabwe"!

FACT OR FICTION?

But if the holocaust mythologists are adept at making facts out of fiction, they've now thought up an even more

ingenious angle — making fiction out of what they claim are facts! According to Thomas Keneally, his recently-published best selling novel, *Schindler's Ark*, which is the story about a German businessman who saved 1,000 Jews from the Nazi gas chambers by setting up a concentration camp of his own where Jews were protected, is not fiction at all because it is all true! Even stranger is the fact that Keneally's book has won this year's £10,000 Booker Prize for fiction. As they say, some facts are stranger than fiction.

BAN THE HACKS!

It seems that newspapermen are incensed because Major-General Jeremy Moore, Land Force Commander in the Falklands war, refused to allow them to be present at the signing of the Argentine surrender



Rear Admiral Woodward and Major General Moore.

by General Mario Menendez. Apparently Gen. Moore, backed up by Rear-Admiral John Woodward, the Task Force Commander, didn't want to risk jeopardising the chance of an early surrender by upsetting the volatile Gen. Menendez. They were right, particularly as it now transpires that the British forces were at the time desperately short of ammunition. The complaint by the newspapermen is

an astonishing example of the arrogance with which representatives of the media think that they have an inalienable right to be present at every event, however important or delicate they may be. It seems quite likely that a number of our servicemen lost their lives in the Falklands because plans were prematurely made public by the media. In my opinion there is a very strong case for banning all media hacks from the theatre of operations in any future conflict in which British forces are involved.

A SAD CASE

In these days when so many violent criminals are let off by soft judges, or at the worst get only suspended sentences, it seems all the more extraordinary to read about the sad case of 17-year-old Mark Cooper. Mark was no angel, but he wasn't a violent lad. He stole a motorbike, for which he was remanded in Canterbury prison. But Mark was a chronic asthma sufferer. Despite the fact that the authorities knew about his illness, Mark was kept in a stuffy prison cell for several weeks while he was awaiting trial. And then one morning he had an asthma attack and died. Meanwhile, murderers, rapists, drug peddlers and child molesters are often given bail, and sometimes even when found guilty are released on some pretext or other, as in the case of the black murderer, Newton Rose.



Why should we give THEM a future?

COMMON MARKET COMMENT

BRITISH FISHERMEN BETRAYED

ON JUNE 30, 1970, delegates of the six original members of the EEC met to thrash out a common fisheries policy (CFP) for the Community. The timing of the meeting was no accident; it was held at that time so that a fishing policy could be formulated and agreed upon without Britain, which was not then a member of the Community, having any say about what that policy would be. So the CFP was hastily cobbled together, the essence of which was simplicity itself: it stated that the vessels of all EEC countries would have equal rights to fish the waters of all EEC countries.


It is easy to understand why the governments of the Six were so anxious to agree upon a fishing policy before Britain could have any say in the matter. British waters contained nearly two-thirds of the fish stocks that would be available to the enlarged Community. In addition, the Six had depleted their own waters of fish by years of over-fishing, whereas British fishermen had not been so foolish. Where Britain was foolish, was in joining the EEC at all; but it was the politicians, not the fishermen, who were responsible for that.

Since we joined the EEC, Britain's fishing industry has been systematically decimated by the incursion of Continental fishing boats right up to our shores. British governments have done little or nothing to prevent this happening. All they have done is talk, and the result has been that the country as a whole has lost a valuable resource, while many of our fishermen have lost their livelihoods.

So it came as no surprise to us to learn that our fishermen had once again been betrayed. This time the villain was Fisheries Minister Peter Walker. Mr. Walker, a former flunkey and henchman of Britain's arch-Marketeer, Edward Heath, agreed in Luxembourg that Britain would accept an exclusive fishing zone varying from six to 12 miles from our shore line! Big deal, as the saying goes! Of course, Mr. Walker

claims that he has achieved a great victory by this arrangement; but we remember that some years ago the Icelandic Government declared a 200 mile exclusive fishing zone with impunity.

As a sop to placate Britain's angry fishermen, Mr. Walker has now announced that the Government has decided to inject £15m into the industry. The price of trawlers and fishing tackle being what they are, this sum is peanuts — indeed, it is an insult to the brave men who go fishing. And in any case, subsidies of this sort are no substitute for fish; and there soon won't be any fish once the Continentals have denuded the seas entirely.



Peter Walker, villain of the piece

The fishermen's organisations are not impressed with the Luxembourg Agreement either. As a spokesman for the National Fishing Federation put it: "The fisheries agreement is a poor and inadequate deal." So it is; but despite the concessions made by the weak-kneed Mr. Walker, the agreement is still not good enough for Denmark. That country is demanding a further 20,000 tons of mackerel taken from the waters surrounding the Scottish Western Isles, and also additional licences to fish for cod off the Shetlands. Denmark has even gone so far as to threaten to take the EEC to court if she doesn't get her way by January 1, 1983.

At least it can be said of the Icelandic and Danish Governments that they fight for their own national interests. By contrast, British Governments, of whatever hue, seem to be far more interested in serving the cause of internationalism than in serving the interests of their own people.

BNP wins smashing victory over Leicester Council



Reds struggle with police at Loughborough.

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY in Leicester last month won a smashing victory over Leicestershire County Council by means of a court action in which it established its right to use council owned premises for a party meeting.

The local branch had booked a hall in a college in Loughborough for the purposes of an internal meeting. When making the booking, it had openly given the full party name. The booking fee was paid to the college and a receipt obtained. This established the booking as a legal contract, which could not be broken.

When the County Council, which owns the college premises, heard of the booking they attempted to cancel it, thereupon which they were taken to court by Ray Hill, acting on behalf of the branch. Mr. Hill

sought an injunction to force the council to honour the booking on pain of breach of contract. He was successful in the action and the court ruled that the meeting must be allowed to go ahead.

Furious at the court's decision, local left-wingers, including council members and college staff and students, staged a rowdy demonstration outside the hall on the night of the meeting, and there were scuffles with the police which resulted in some being arrested.

The meeting was addressed by party Leader John Tyndall, National Organiser Charles Parker, Ray Hill and Alan Gresham, with Leicester Branch Organiser John Peacock in

the chair. It was attended by about 60 people all of whom, apart from a contingent of 10 from London, were locals.

The event won massive publicity in the Leicester and county area, with the party being mentioned again and again on radio news bulletins and in the highly hostile *Leicester Mercury* newspaper. There were also local radio interviews with John Tyndall and Ray Hill.

This affair has truly broken the silence barrier erected against the party in Leicester and really put it on the map.

Cassettes

BNP Recordings offer two cassettes dealing with Nationalist topics:—

VOICES OF NATIONALISM

Side 1: 'Whites unite!' — Excerpts from speeches at Nationalist rally in London on September 5th 1981 calling for Nationalist unity.

Side 2: 'The coming British Revolution' — Talk by John Tyndall on the fraudulence of 'liberal democracy' and the need for a radically new political system in Britain.

TYNDALL SPEAKS

Side 1: 'Our Anglo-Saxon heritage' — John Tyndall talks about the great worldwide heritage of the Anglo-Saxon-Celtic peoples and calls for their unity.

Side 2: 'Britain's economic crisis' — John Tyndall talks about the breakdown of the British economy over a long period and calls for a new economic policy based on Economic Nationalism.

The cassettes cost £3 each — plus 16½p for postage. All orders should be sent to: BNP Recordings, Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

YOUNG NATIONALIST

Read *Young Nationalist*, the paper for nationalist youth. Send 20p for sample copy to: 16 Vale Lodge, Perry Vale, London S.E.23.

Read

SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of Nationalist policies read *Spearhead*, a 20 page monthly magazine which supports the British National Party. Price 35p. Annual subscription £6.15. 47p for sample copy to: *Spearhead*, PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

BUY NEW FRONTIER IN BULK

New Frontier retails at 12p from your local seller. Would bulk purchasers please order only in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies £1.20 + 32p post
25 copies £2.70 + 94p post
50 copies £4.80 + £1.57 post
100 copies £8.40 + £1.90 post
150 copies £12.00 + £2.10 post
200 copies £15.00 + £2.25 post
300 copies £21.00 + £2.55 post
400 copies £26.40 + £2.80 post
500 copies £32.00) Bulk rates
1,000 copies £62.00) by roadline

Cheques/postal orders to *New Frontier*, PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *New Frontier* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *New Frontier* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £3.40 for 12 issues for subscribers in the British Isles. For subscribers overseas the rate is £4.00 for 12 issues (surface mail). Please make cheques/POs payable to *New Frontier* and send to *New Frontier*, PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB. An additional charge of £1.00 is required on all foreign cheques.

Name

Address

OVERSEAS SUBSCRIBERS WELCOMED

OBITUARY

JOHN O'BRIEN

John O'Brien, a stalwart nationalist of many years' standing, died at his home in Shropshire on the 21st September. We would have reported Mr. O'Brien's death earlier but for the fact that we did not hear about it until recently.

John O'Brien became office manager of the National Front in 1970 after spending some years campaigning for Enoch Powell. A year later he was elected Chairman of the party, in which capacity he served until July 1972, when along with others he left the NF to form the National Independence Party. He later acknowledged that this action had been a mistake at the time it was taken but he fully supported the later formation of the New National Front and its subsequent merger into the British National Party, becoming a BNP member and working quietly to promote the party in Shropshire as far as his weakening heart condition would allow.

His heart eventually gave out but never his spirit. Although we have at times had disagreements with Mr. O'Brien, we salute him as he passes on — a man who never stopped fighting for his country and a good and loyal friend. *New Frontier* offers its condolences to his wife Barbara in her sad loss.

Quotes of the month

"Women are constantly telling me that they are afraid to go out after dark because they fear the muggers."

— John Redwood, Tory candidate in the Peckham by-election.

"I am not sure we are not heading for the fate of ancient Rome, which in its later days had far fewer Romans than immigrants... Rome became a city of pollution and noise and foreigners, and it collapsed."

— Mrs. Clare Booth Luce, former US Ambassador to Italy.

"... ordinary people accept wealth and privilege far more readily than they accept, say, coloured immigration, because wealth and privilege are part of the British heritage in a way that coloured immigrants are not. Everyone likes a Lord. But very few like a coloured neighbour."

— Peregrine Worsthorne in THE SUNDAY TELEGRAPH.

New Frontier, though it believes in racial differences, opposes race-hatred. All those who oppose multi-racialism should attack the politicians who promote it, not the immigrants, who are merely its victims.

ULSTER VIOLENCE

(Contd. from page 2)

undermining the body politic and sapping the national cohesion which once was so strong in these islands. This trend will continue unless the IRA is decisively defeated, and it can be defeated providing that the Government has the will. As a preliminary measure the Government should outlaw those organisations which give support to the IRA — including Sinn Féin. Then the IRA terrorists must be pursued as relentlessly as were the Argentine invaders of the Falklands. If yomping and bloodshed are necessary, so be it. We are at war.

WHAT WE STAND FOR

- The return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs.
- A government that will put British interests first.
- British withdrawal from the European Common Market.
- Closer links with the White Commonwealth.
- Protection of British industry by the exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain.
- A sane financial system which will give to the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce.
- The revival of British farming.
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources.
- A clamp-down on social security spongers and the restoration of the incentive to work.
- An end to immigration and a start to repatriation.
- British support of the Whites in South Africa.
- An end to financial aid to black dictatorships.
- The strengthening of Britain's defences.
- Tougher treatment of criminals.
- The smashing of the IRA, the death penalty for terrorists and firm support for the Loyalist majority in Northern Ireland.
- Repeal of the laws permitting Abortion and Homosexuality and a general toughening up of legislation against the promotion of obscenity in the media.
- The elimination of Communism in Britain, in the mass media, in the factory and in the schoolroom.

WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 115, Hove, East Sussex BN3 3SB
Tick appropriate box

Please send me more information for which I enclose 20p. ☐

I wish to become a Full Member and enclose £6.00 (Half-rate for students and OAPs) ☐

I wish to become an Associate Member and enclose £3.00 (No half-rate) ☐

Name

Address